Towards Formalism for Quantum Chromodynamics: Loop String Hadron Formulation in SU(3) Gauge Theory

Ming Yang

Supervisor: Dr Bipasha Chakraborty

Sponsored by: CMS Summer Research Bursary

University of Cambridge

October 12, 2021

Ming Yang (University of Cambridge)

Lattice QCD and SU(3) Gauge

Outline

Gauge Theory

- 2 QCD Hamiltonian
- 3 Lattice QCD
- 4 Schwinger Boson formulation
- 5 LSH formulation
- 6 LSH in SU(3)

7 Further work

3. 3

Gauge Theory

- In Quantum Mechanics, a state $|\Psi
 angle$ is indistinguishable to $e^{i\phi}|\Psi
 angle.$
- Similar for QFT
- if $\Omega(x) \in G$ for a Lie group G, and $T_a(R)$ its generators in a representation R, then under this Gauge transformation Ω
 - $\psi \to \Omega \psi$
 - matters and gauge field interact through covariant derivative, $D_{\mu}\psi^{i} = \partial_{\mu}\psi^{i} - iA^{a}_{\mu}[T^{a}(R)]^{i}_{j}\psi^{j}$ This makes the derivative transform as the matter field $D_{\mu}\psi \rightarrow \Omega D_{\mu}\psi$
 - $A_{\mu}
 ightarrow \Omega A_{\mu} \Omega^{-1} + \Omega \partial_{\mu} (\Omega^{-1})$

Examples

• U(1) local gauge symmetry in QED for $\Omega(x) = e^{i\omega(x)} \in U(1)$,

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \bar{\psi}(i\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu} - m)\psi \qquad (1)$$

is invariant under ${\cal A}_\mu o {\cal A}_\mu - \partial_\mu \omega$, $\psi o e^{-i\omega}\psi$

3

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

Examples

• U(1) local gauge symmetry in QED for $\Omega(x) = e^{i\omega(x)} \in U(1)$,

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \bar{\psi}(i\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu} - m)\psi \qquad (1)$$

is invariant under ${\it A}_{\mu}
ightarrow {\it A}_{\mu} - \partial_{\mu}\omega$, $\psi
ightarrow e^{-i\omega}\psi$

 SU(3) local gauge symmetry in QCD for Ω(x) ∈ SU(3),

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_a + \Sigma_q \bar{\psi}^q_i (i\gamma^\mu (D_\mu)_{ij} - m) \psi^q_j \tag{2}$$

where $F^{a}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A^{a}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A^{a}_{\mu} + gf^{abc}A^{b}_{\mu}A^{c}_{\nu}$ The Lagrangian is invariant under $\psi \to \Omega(x)\psi$, $A_{\mu} \to \Omega(x)A_{\mu}\Omega(x)^{-1} + i\Omega(x)\partial_{\mu}\Omega(x)$.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

• Sign problem in Lagrangian formalism

э

۲

• Sign problem in Lagrangian formalism

$$S[\phi] = \int L dt = \int \mathcal{L}(\phi, \partial_{\mu}\phi) d^4x$$
 (3)

< 1 k

• Sign problem in Lagrangian formalism

• Adopt Hamiltonian formalism: $\pi(x) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}(x)}, \ H = \int [\pi(x)\phi(x) - \mathcal{L}]d^3x$

< 🗇 🕨 < 🖃 🕨

• Sign problem in Lagrangian formalism

- Adopt Hamiltonian formalism: $\pi(x) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}(x)}, \ H = \int [\pi(x)\phi(x) - \mathcal{L}] d^3x$
- in Weyl Gauge $A_0 = 0$,

$$H = \int d^d x [-i\bar{\psi}\gamma^i D_i\psi + m\bar{\psi}\psi + 1/2(E^2 + B^2)]$$
(4)

where
$$B_k^a = \epsilon_{klm} (\partial_l A_m^a - g/2f^{abc} A_l^b A_m^c)$$

Lattice QCD: Kogut-Susskind formulation

- Wilson line&Wilson loop $U[x_i, x_f; C] = \mathcal{P}exp(i \int_{x_i}^{x_f} A); \ U[x_i, x_f; C] \rightarrow \Omega(x_i) U[x_i, x_f; C] \Omega^{\dagger}(x_f)$ $W[C] = tr \mathcal{P}exp(i \oint A)$ gauge invariant
- Discretize in space:

$$H = H_I + H_M + H_E + H_\Box \tag{5}$$

where

$$H_{I} = -t\Sigma_{x,i}(\psi_{x}^{\dagger}U_{x,i}\psi_{x+i} + h.c.)$$

$$H_{M} = m\Sigma_{x}(-)^{x}\psi_{x}^{\dagger}\psi_{x}$$

$$H_{E} = g_{e}^{2}/2\Sigma_{x,i}E_{x,i}^{2}$$

$$H_{B} = g_{m}^{2}/2\Sigma_{x;i\neq j\in\{1,2,\dots,d\}}(\Box_{x,i,j}) + h.c.$$
(6)

where the plaquettes $\Box_{x,i,j} = U_{x,i}U_{x+i,j}U_{x+j,i}^{\dagger}U_{x,j}^{\dagger}$

Schwinger Boson Formulation

- Problem with Kogut-Susskind: nonlocal nonabelian gauge freedom in Wilson line
- Idea: splitting the line into right and left part. Each part transforms as the site nearby.
 - $[E_L^a(n,i), U(n,i)] = T^a U(n,i); [E_R^a(n,i), U(n,i)] = U(n,i)T^a$
 - Abelian constraints: $E_L^a E_L^a = E_R^a E_R^a$ at each link
- algebra is the same as bosonic field. creation/annihilation operators are similar to raising/lowering operators in SU(2)
- SU(2): 2d generators near a site $\{a_l\}_{l=L,R}$
- SU(3): 12d generators near a site $\{a_l, b_l\}_{l=L,R}$

<日

<</p>

Loop, String and Hadron

- Idea of LSH: combine sites to form locally gauge invariant states to remove nonabelian gauge
- only residue abelian gauss law
- reformulation of Hamiltonian into gauge-invariant operators
 - loop: pure gauge field
 - string: gauge field+fermionic field
 - hadron: fermionic field
 - number

LSH in SU(3)

- Complexity in Schwinger Boson representation in SU(3): reducible representation
- projected to irreducible representation with the new operators
- LSH operators
 - loop
 - string
 - hadron(mesons, baryons)
 - number operator
- gluon site: gauge loop operators

LSH operators in SU(3)-pure gauge field loop

$$L_{ab}^{++} = a^{\dagger}(R)_{\alpha}b^{\dagger}(L)^{\alpha}$$

$$L_{ab}^{--} = a(R)^{\alpha}b(L)_{\alpha} = (L_{ab}^{++})^{\dagger}$$

$$L_{ba}^{++} = b(R)_{\alpha}a(L)^{\alpha}$$

$$L_{ba}^{--} = b^{\dagger}(R)^{\alpha}a^{\dagger}(L)_{\alpha} = (L_{ba}^{++})^{\dagger}$$

$$L_{b}^{+-} = b^{\dagger}(R)^{\alpha}b(L)_{\alpha}$$

$$L_{b}^{-+} = b(R)_{\alpha}b^{\dagger}(L)^{\alpha} = (L_{b}^{+-})^{\dagger}$$

$$L_{a}^{+-} = a^{\dagger}(R)_{\alpha}a(L)^{\alpha}$$

$$L_{a}^{-+} = a(R)^{\alpha}a^{\dagger}(L)_{\alpha} = (L_{a}^{+-})^{\dagger}$$

Ming Yang (University of Cambridge)

3

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

(7)

LSH operators in SU(3)-pure gauge field loop

$$L_{ij}^{a+b+} = a^{\dagger}(i) \cdot b^{\dagger}(j)$$

$$L_{ij}^{a-b-} = a(i) \cdot b(j)$$

$$L_{ij}^{a+a-} = a^{\dagger}(i) \cdot a(j)$$

$$L_{ij}^{a-a+} = a(i) \cdot a^{\dagger}(j)(L_{ij}^{a+a-})^{\dagger}$$

$$L_{ij}^{b+b-} = b^{\dagger}(i) \cdot b(j)$$

$$L_{ij}^{b-b+} = b(i) \cdot b^{\dagger}(j) = (L_{ij}^{b+b-})^{\dagger}$$

$$A_{ijk}^{\dagger} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} a^{\dagger}_{\alpha}(i) a^{\dagger}_{\beta}(j) a^{\dagger}_{\gamma}(k)$$

$$A_{ijk} = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} b^{\alpha}(i) b^{\beta}(j) b^{\gamma}(k)$$

$$B_{ijk}^{\dagger} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} b_{\alpha}(i) b_{\beta}(j) b_{\gamma}(k)$$
(9)

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト 一日

10/15

LSH operators in SU(3)-string operators

$$S_{in}^{b,3+} = b(R)_{\alpha}\psi^{\dagger\alpha}$$

$$S_{in}^{b,3-} = b^{\dagger}(R)^{\alpha}\psi_{\alpha} = (S_{in}^{b,3+})^{\dagger}$$

$$S_{in}^{a,3-} = a^{\dagger}(R)_{\alpha}\psi^{\alpha}$$

$$S_{in}^{a,3+} = a(R)^{\alpha}\psi^{\dagger\alpha} = (S_{in}^{a,3-})^{\dagger}$$

$$S_{in}^{b,\bar{3}+} = b^{\dagger}(R)^{\alpha}\psi_{\alpha}^{\dagger}$$

$$S_{in}^{b,\bar{3}-} = b(R)_{\alpha}\psi^{\alpha} = (S_{in}^{b,\bar{3}+})^{\dagger}$$

$$S_{in}^{a,\bar{3}-} = a(R)^{\alpha}\psi_{\alpha}$$

$$S_{in}^{a,\bar{3}+} = a^{\dagger}(R)_{\alpha}\psi_{\alpha}^{\dagger} = (S_{in}^{a,\bar{3}-})^{\dagger}$$
(10)

Ming Yang (University of Cambridge)

→ ∃ → October 12, 2021 11/15

3

• • • • • • • • • •

LSH operators in SU(3)-string operators

$$\begin{split} S_{out}^{b,3+} &= b(L)_{\alpha}\psi^{\dagger\alpha} \\ S_{out}^{b,3-} &= b^{\dagger}(L)^{\alpha}\psi_{\alpha} = (S_{out}^{b,3+})^{\dagger} \\ S_{out}^{a,3-} &= a^{\dagger}(L)_{\alpha}\psi^{\alpha} \\ S_{out}^{a,3+} &= a(L)^{\alpha}\psi^{\dagger\alpha} = (S_{out}^{a,3-})^{\dagger} \\ S_{out}^{b,\bar{3}+} &= b^{\dagger}(L)^{\alpha}\psi_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \\ S_{out}^{b,\bar{3}-} &= b(L)_{\alpha}\psi^{\alpha} = (S_{out}^{b,\bar{3}+})^{\dagger} \\ S_{out}^{a,\bar{3}-} &= a(L)^{\alpha}\psi_{\alpha} \\ S_{out}^{a,\bar{3}+} &= a^{\dagger}(L)_{\alpha}\psi_{\alpha}^{\dagger} = (S_{out}^{a,\bar{3}-})^{\dagger} \end{split}$$

Ming Yang (University of Cambridge)

- ∢ ⊒ → October 12, 2021 11/15

3

Image: A match a ma

(11)

LSH operators in SU(3)-hadron operators

$$H^{++} = -\frac{1}{2!}\psi^{\dagger\alpha}\psi^{\dagger}_{\beta}\eta^{\beta}_{\alpha}$$
$$H^{--} = \frac{1}{2!}\psi_{\alpha}\psi^{\beta}\eta^{\alpha}_{\beta} = (H^{++})^{\dagger}$$

(12)

3

- ∢ ⊒ →

Image: A match a ma

LSH operators in SU(3)-number operators

gauge flux operators

$$N_{L} = a^{\dagger}(L) \cdot a(L)$$

$$N_{R} = a^{\dagger}(R) \cdot a(R)$$

$$M_{L} = b^{\dagger}(L) \cdot b(L)$$

$$M_{R} = b^{\dagger}(R) \cdot b(R)$$
(13)

• quark number operator

$$N_{\psi} = \psi^{\dagger a} \psi_{a}$$

$$N_{\bar{\psi}} = \psi^{\dagger}_{a} \psi^{a}$$
(14)

Further work

- finish the commutation relation between the operators
- rewrite Kogut-Susskind Hamiltonian in LSH operators
- \bullet develop quantum simulation algorithms for SU(3) LGT from this formulation

References

1. Chakraborty B., et.al., *Digital Quantum Simulation of the Schwinger Model with Topological Term via Adiabatic State Preparation*, https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.00485 2. Tong D,, *Gauge Theory*, http://www.damtp.cam.ac.uk/user/tong/gaugetheory.html

3. Georgi H., Lie Algebras in Particle Physics, CRC Press

4. Tong D., Quantum Field Theory, http://www.damtp.cam.ac.uk/user/tong/qft.html

5. Raychowdhury I. and Stryker J., *Loop, String, and Hadron Dynamics in SU(2) Hamiltonian Lattice Gauge Theories*, https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.06133

6. Anishetty R., et.al., *Prepotential formulation of SU(3) lattice gauge theory*, https://arxiv.org/abs/0909.2394

7. Mathur M., Harmonic oscillator pre-potentials in SU(2) lattice gauge theory J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 38 10015

8. Davoudi Z., Raychowdhury I. and Shaw A., *Search for Efficient Formulations for Hamiltonian Simulation of non-Abelian Lattice Gauge Theories*, https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.11802

9. Chandrasekharan S.and Wiese U., *Quantum Link Models: A Discrete Approach to Gauge Theories*, https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-lat/9609042

10. Manton N., *The Schwinger Model and Its Axial Anomaly*, Annals of Physics **159**(1985), p220-251

11. Kogut J. and Susskind L., *Hamiltonian formulation of Wilson's lattice gauge theories*, Physical Review D **Vol. 11**(1975) 2, pp395-408

12. Muschik, C. et. al., *U*(1) Wilson lattice gauge theories in digital quantum simulators, https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.08653

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日